arXiv:1412.3962v1 [math.AC] 12 Dec 2014

Partial regularities and a^*-invariants of Borel type ideals

Dancheng Lu and Lizhong Chu

Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, P.R. China

Abstract: We express the Partial regularities and a^*-invariants of a Borel type ideal in terms of its irredundant irreducible decomposition. In addition we consider the behaviours of those invariants under intersections and sums.

Keywords: Borel type ideals, regularity, stable

2010 MR Subject Classification: Primary 13D02, Secondary 13A02

1 Introduction

Let k be an infinite field and $S = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over k. Herzog, Popescu and Vladoiu [8] define a monomial ideal I in S to be of *Borel type* if it satisfies the following property:

$$I : (x_1, \cdots, x_i)^\infty = I : x_i^\infty, \forall i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

We mention that this concept appears also in [5, Definition 1.3] as weakly stable ideal and in [1, Definition 3.1] as nested type ideal. The class of ideals is rather large: It includes Borel fixed ideals (i.e., strongly stable ideals if $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ or p-Borel ideals if $\mathrm{char}(k) = p$. See [2]) and stable ideals. Many authors are interested in the regularity of those ideals (see e.g. [8, 1, 3, 4].) For instance, if we call $sat(I) = \max\{j : H^0_m(R/I)_j \neq 0\}$ to be the satiety of an ideal I, it is proved in [8] and [1] independently that the regularity of an ideal of Borel type is the maximum of satieties of of some related graded ideals. Moreover it is proved in [8] that every monomial ideal of Borel type is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module and $\mathfrak{m}$ be an the maximal graded ideal of S. Note that $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is an artinian graded module. As usual, we set

$$a_i(M) = \max\{j \,|\, H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_j \neq 0\} = \max\{j \,|\, \mathrm{Ext}^{n-i}_S(M, S)_{-n-j} \neq 0\}.$$

The following invariants (called partial regularities and a^*-invariants) are studied in [11].

$$\mathrm{reg}_t(M) = \max\{a_i(M) + i : i \leq t\}.$$
$$a^*_t(M) = \max\{a_i(M) : i \leq t\}.$$

This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (11201326).

These invariants carry important information on the structure of M. For instance, it is proved in [11, Theorem 3.1] that $\mathrm{reg}_t(M) = \max\{b_i - i : i \geq n - t\}$ and $a_t^*(M) = \max\{b_i : i \geq n-t\} - n$, where b_i is the maximal degree of generators in F_i and where $0 \to F_s \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$ is the minimal free resolution of M. We see that the usual (Castelnuovo-Mumford) regularity and a^*-invariant are special cases of these invariants.

In this short note we will study those partial invariants a monomial ideal of Borel type. Our main contribution is Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.6, which say that all $a_i(S/I)$, $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, n)$ can be expressed explicitly in terms of its irredandunt irreducible decomposition if I is of Borel type. We see that [1, Corolary 3.7] follows immediately from these results. In addition, we recover some results which appear in [3, 4].

Let I be a monomial ideal of S and u a monomial. We set

$$m(u) = \max\{i | x_i \text{ divides } u\}$$

and

$$m(I) = \max\{m(u) | u \in G(I)\},$$

where $G(I)$ is the set of minimal generators of I. Let N is a finitely generated graded S-module. We use $s(N)$ to denote $\max\{i \,|\, N_i \neq 0\}$, the largest nonvanishing degree of N.

We conclude this section by the following characterizations of a monomial ideal of Borel type.

Theorem 1.1. *Let I be a monomial ideal with $d = \dim(S/I)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *I is of Borel type.*

(2) *$x_n, \cdots, x_1$ is an almost regular sequence on S/I.*

(3) *$H_1(x_n, \cdots, x_i, S/I)$ has the finite length for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.*

(4) *$I_{\geq e}$ is stable for all $e >> 0$, where $I_{\geq e}$ is the ideal generated by monomials of degree $\geq e$ from I.*

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2): It follows from [1, Theorem 3.1].

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): It follows from [7, Proposition 4.3.5].

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4): By the condition (3), there exists a positive integer t such that $[H_1(x_n, \cdots, x_i; R/I)])_j = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \geq t$. Then, for all $e \geq t$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have that

$$H_1(x_n, \cdots, x_i; S/I_{\geq e}) = H_2(x_n, \cdots, x_i; I_{\geq e})$$

$$= [H_2(x_n, \cdots, x_i; I)]_{\geq e} = [H_1(x_n, \cdots, x_i; S/I)]_{\geq e} = 0.$$

It follows that $I_{\geq e}$ is stable by [7, Theorem 7.2.2].

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3): If (3) does not hold, then there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$\dim(H_1(x_n, \cdots, x_i; S/I)) > 0.$$

It follows that $\dim(H_1(x_n,\cdots,x_i;R/I_{\geq e}))>0$ for all $e>0$ and thus $I_{\geq e}$ is not stable for any $e>0$ by [7, Theorem 7.2.2]. □

We remark that the equivalence between (1) and (4) has been proved in [9].

2 Main Results

Let I be a Borel type monomial ideal in S. Recall that the sequential chain $I=I_0\subseteq I_1\subseteq\cdots\subseteq I_r=S$ of I is defined in [8] recursively: $I_0=I$. Suppose I_l is already defined. If $I_l=S$ then the chain ends. Otherwise $I_{l+1}=(I_l:x_{n_l}^{\infty})$, where $n_l=m(I_l)$.

Notice that $r\leq n$ since $n\geq n_0>\cdots n_l>n_{l+1}>\cdots>n_{r-1}$. Let J_l be the ideal generated by $G(I_l)$ in $k[x_1,\cdots,x_{n_l}]$ for $l=0,1,\cdots,r-1$. Then $I_{l+1}/I_l\cong J_l^{sat}/J_l[x_{n_l+1},\cdots,x_n]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay S-module of dimension $n-n_l$. This shows S/I is a sequentially Cohen-Macauly module. Moreover we have:

Proposition 2.1. ([8, Corollary 2.7.]) *Let I be a monomial ideal of Borel type. With notations $I_i's, J_i's$ as above we have $a_{n-j}(S/I)=-\infty$ if $j\notin\{n_0,\cdots,n_{r-1}\}$ and*

$$a_{n-n_i}(S/I)=s(J_i^{sat}/J_i)-n+n_i,\ \forall\ i=0,1,\cdots,r-1.$$

Remark 2.2. We use the convention that $\max\{\emptyset\}=-\infty$, and $s(N)=-\infty$ if $N=0$. Thus $a_{n-j}(S/I)=-\infty$, rather than 0, which appeared in the original paper [8] if $j\notin\{n_0,\cdots,n_{r-1}\}$.

By [1, Corollary 2.4] if I is a monomial ideal satisfying $I:(x_1,\cdots,x_n)=I:x_n$, then $s(I^{sat}/I)$ is the maximal degree of minimal generators which involve x_n. By this result and Proposition 2.1, we recover a result of a strongly stable monomial ideal given in [11], where a monomial ideal I is called strongly stable if $I:(x_1,\cdots,x_i)=I:x_i$, $\forall i=1,2,\cdots,n$.

Corollary 2.3. ([11, Theorem 2.4]) *Let I be a strongly stable monomial ideal. Then*

(1) $\mathrm{reg}_t(I)$ *is the maximal degree of minimal generators u with $u\in G(I)$ and $m(u)\geq n-t$.*

(2) $a_t^*(I)$ *is the maximal number of $\deg(u)-n+m(u)$, where u is a minimal generator of I with $m(u)>n-t$.*

Proof. Let $\delta_i(I)$ denote the maximal degree of minimal generators u of I with $m(u)=i$. By the construction of $J_l's$ we see that $\delta_{n_0}(J_0)=\delta_{n_0}(I)$ and

$$\delta_{n_l}(I)\leq\delta_{n_l}(J_l)\leq\max\{\delta_{n_0}(I),\cdots\delta_{n_l}(I)\},\ \forall\, l=1,\cdots,r-1.\qquad(*)$$

Fix $t\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$, there exists l such that $n-n_{l-1}<t-1\leq n-n_l$. Since $a_0(I)=-\infty$, $a_i(I)=a_{i-1}(S/I), i=1,\cdots,n-1$ and $\max\{-n,a_n(I)\}=$

$\max\{-n, a_{n-1}(S/I)\}$, it follows that

$$a_t^*(I) = \max\{a_0(I), \cdots, a_t(I)\} = \max\{a_0(S/I), \cdots, a_{t-1}(S/I)\}$$
$$= \max\{a_{n-n_0}(S/I), \cdots, a_{n-n_l}(S/I)\}$$
$$= \max\{\delta_{n_0}(J_0) - n + n_0, \cdots, \delta_{n_l}(J_l) - n + n_l\},$$

where the last inequality follows from [1, Corollary 2.4]. In view of $(*)$ we have

$$a_t^*(S/I) = \max\{\delta_{n_0}(I) - n + n_0, \cdots, \delta_{n_l}(I) - n + n_l\}.$$

Note that $\delta_l(I) = -\infty$ if $l \notin \{n_0, \cdots, n_{r-1}\}$, we prove (2). (1) can be proved similarly. $\square$

It is well-known that every monomial ideal I has a unique irredundant decomposition $I = q_1 \cap q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_r$, where each q_i is an irreducible monomial ideal, i.e., an ideal generated by powers of variables (See [10, Theorem 5.1.17]). For the writing of an irreducible monomial ideal we adopt a notation from [10]: If $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$ denotes the irreducible monomial ideal $(x_i^{b_i} : b_i \geq 1)$. Set $|\mathbf{b}| = b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_n$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $I = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$ with $b_i > 0,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then $s(I^{sat}/I) = |\mathbf{b}| - n$.*

Proof. Straightforward check.

Proposition 2.5. *Let I be a monomial ideal of Borel type and let $I = q_1 \cap q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_r$ be a irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. Then*

$$s(I^{sat}/I) = \max\{s(q_1^{sat}/q_1), \cdots, s(q_r^{sat}/q_r)\}.$$

Proof. Case 1. If $(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \notin \mathrm{Ass}(I)$, then $I^{sat} = I : x_n^{\infty} = I$ and $q_i^{sat} = q_i, i = 1, \cdots, r$. Hence $s(I^{sat}/I) = s(q_i^{sat}/q_i) = -\infty, 1 \leq i \leq r$, as required.

Case 2. If $(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathrm{Ass}(I)$, we let

$$K = \cap\{q_i | \sqrt{q_i} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n), 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

and

$$L = \cap\{q_i | \sqrt{q_i} \neq (x_1, \cdots, x_n), 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

By permutation of subscripts we can assume $K = q_1 \cap \cdots \cap q_s$ and $L = q_{s+1} \cap \cdots \cap q_r$ where $s \leq r$. Since $K^{sat} = S$, we have $s(K^{sat}/K) = \max\{t | K_t \neq S_t\} = \max\{t | (q_i)_t \neq S_t, \forall 1 \leq i \leq s\} = \max\{s(q_i^{sat}/q_i) | 1 \leq 1 \leq s\}$. Denote $T = s(K^{sat}/K)$. We only need to prove that $s(I^{sat}/I) = T$ since $s(q_i^{sat}/q_i) = -\infty$ for each $i \in \{s+1, \cdots, r\}$.

Note that $s(I^{sat}/I) = s(L/K \cap L) = \max\{t | L_t \nsubseteq K_t\}$. If $t > T$, then $L_t \subseteq K_t = S_t$. It follows that $s(I^{sat}/I) \leq T$. Thus the results follows if one shows that $L_T \nsubseteq K_T$. By Lemma 2.4 there exists $1 \leq i \leq s$, say $i = s$, such that $q_s = (x_1^{b_1}, \cdots, x_n^{b_n})$ and $T = b_1 + \cdots + b_n - n$ where $b_i > 0, i = 1, \cdots, n$. For each $s + 1 \leq j \leq r$, since $q_j \nsubseteq q_s$ there is $x_{k_j}^{a_j} \in G(q_j)$ such that $x_{k_j}^{a_j}$ divides

$x_1^{b_1-1}\cdots x_n^{b_n-1}$. Let u be the least common multiple of $x_{k_j}^{a_j}, j=s+1,\cdots,r$. Since $u\in L$ we have $x_1^{b_1-1}\cdots x_n^{b_n-1}\in L_T$ and so $L_T\nsubseteq K_T$, completing the proof. □

By virtue of Propositions 2.1 and 2.5 we can read the value of $a_k(S/I)$'s for a monomial ideal I of Borel type from its irredundant irreducible decomposition.

Theorem 2.6. *Let I be a monomial ideal of Borel type with a irredundant irreducible decomposition $I=\bigcap_{b\in B}\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$. Then, for each $0\leq k\leq n$, we have*

$$a_k(S/I)=\max\{|\mathbf{b}|-n:\ \mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})=\{1,\cdots,n-k\},\mathbf{b}\in B\}.$$

Proof. We observe that $I_1=I_0:x_{n_0}^{\infty}=\cap\{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}|\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n_1\},\mathbf{b}\in B\}$ and $I_l=\cap\{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}:\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n_l\},\mathbf{b}\in B\}, l=2,\cdots,r-1$ by induction. Hence $\mathrm{Ass}(S/I)=\{(x_1,\cdots,x_{n_l}):l=0,\cdots,r-1\}$. Fix $0\leq k\leq n$. If $k\notin\{n-n_0,\cdots,n-n_{r-1}\}$ then the set $\{\mathbf{b}\in B:\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})=\{1,2,\cdots,n-k\}\}$ is empty, and so $a_k(S/I)=\max\{|\mathbf{b}|-n:\ \mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})=\{1,\cdots,n-k\}\}=-\infty$. If $k=n-n_l$ for some l, then $a_k(S/I)=s(J_l^{sat}/J_l)-k$. Let $B_1=\{\mathbf{b}\in B:\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n_l\}\}$. Then $J_l=\cap\{\mathfrak{n}^{\mathbf{b}'}:\mathbf{b}\in B_1\}$, where $\mathfrak{n}^{\mathbf{b}'}=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}\cap k[x_1,\cdots,x_l]$, $\forall\mathbf{b}\in B_1$. Since $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b}')=\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})$, we have $a_k(S/I)=\max\{|\mathbf{b}|-n_l-k:\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})=\{1,\cdots,n_l\},\mathbf{b}\in B_1\}=\max\{|\mathbf{b}|-n:\ \mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{b})=\{1,\cdots,n-k\},\mathbf{b}\in B\}$ by Proposition 2.5, as required. □

Example 2.7. Let $I=(x^2,y^3)\cap(x^4,y^4,z^3)=(x^4,x^2z^3,y^4,y^3z^3)$. Then $a_0(R/I)=8$ and $a_1(R/I)=2$. Hence $\mathrm{reg}(R/I)=8$ and $a^*(R/I)=8$.

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.8. *Let I be a monomial ideal of Borel type with a irredundant irreducible decomposition $I=q_1\cap q_2\cap\cdots\cap q_r$. Then, for each $0\leq k\leq n$, we have*

$$a_k(S/I)=\max\{a_k(S/q_1),\cdots,a_k(S/q_r)\}.$$

By Theorem 2.6, we can prove the main result of [4] easily.

Corollary 2.9. ([4, Corollary 8]) *Let I be a monomial ideal of Borel type. Then*

$$\mathrm{reg}(I)=\min\{e\geq\deg(I)|I_{\geq e}\ \textit{is stable}\}.$$

Proof. By [6, Proposition 12], we only need to show if $e\geq\mathrm{reg}(I)$, then $I_{\geq e}$ is stable. We prove this result by induction on r, where $I=q_1\cap q_2\cap\cdots\cap q_r$ is a irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. It is clear in case that $r=1$. If $r>1$, we put $J=q_1\cap q_2\cap\cdots\cap q_{r-1}$. Then $\mathrm{reg}(I)=\max\{\mathrm{reg}(J),\mathrm{reg}(q_r)\}$ by Theorem 2.6. It follows that for any $e\geq\mathrm{reg}(I)$, the ideals $J_{\geq e}$ and $(q_r)_{\geq e}$ are stable by induction hypothesis and thus $I_{\geq e}=J_{\geq e}\cap(q_r)_{\geq e}$ is stable. as required. □

It is well-known that $K\cap L$, $K+L$ KL are all of Borel type if K,L are two monomial ideals of Borel type.

Corollary 2.10. *Let K, L be two monomial ideals of Borel type. Set $I = K \cap L$. Then $a_i(S/I) \leq \max\{a_i(S/K), a_i(S/L)\},\ \forall 0 \leq i \leq n$. In particular*

$\operatorname{reg}_t(S/I) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}_t(S/K), \operatorname{reg}_t(S/L)\}$ and $a_t^*(S/I) \leq \max\{a_t^*(S/K), a_t^*(S/L)\}$.

Proof. Assume that $K = \bigcap_{b\in B_1} \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$, $L = \bigcap_{b\in B_2} \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$ and $I = \bigcap_{b\in C} \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbf{b}}$ are irredundant irreducible decompositions of K, L, I respectively. Note that $C \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$, we obtain that $a_i(S/I) \leq \max\{a_i(S/K), a_i(S/L)\},\ \forall 0 \leq i \leq n$ immediately by Theorem 2.6. □

Let $K = (x^2, y^2, z^{10}), L = (x^4, y^4), I = K \cap L = L$. Then $a_0(S/I) = -\infty$ and $a_0(S/K) = 11$. Hence, $a_i(S/I) = \max\{a_i(S/K), a_i(S/L)\}$ does not hold in general.

Corollary 2.11. *Let K, L be two monomial ideals of Borel type. Set $J = K + L$. Then*

(1) $a_t^*(S/J) = \max\{a_{t+1}^*(S/K), a_{t+1}^*(S/L)\}$.

(2) $\operatorname{reg}_t(S/J) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}_t(S/K), \operatorname{reg}_t(S/L), \operatorname{reg}_{t+1}(S/K) - 1, \operatorname{reg}_{t+1}(S/L) - 1\}$.

Proof. From the short exact sequence $0 \to S/(K\cap L) \to S/K \oplus S/L \to S/J \to 0$.

We obtain the long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/K) \oplus H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/L) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/J) \to H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/K \cap L) \to \cdots .$$

It follows that $a_i(S/J) \leq \max\{a_i(S/K), a_i(S/L)a_{i+1}(S/K), a_{i+1}(S/L)\}$ by Corollary 2.10. Now the reults follow directly.

References

[1] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenezb, Saturation and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, Journal of Algebra, (2006) 592-617.

[2] D. Bayer and M.A. Stillman, A criterion for detecting m-regularity. Invent. Math 87(1987), 1-11.

[3] M. Cimpoeas, Some remarks on Borel type ideals, Commu. Algebra 37:2(2009), 724-727.

[4] M. Cimpoeas, A stable property of Borel type ideals, Commu. Algebra 36:2 (2008), 674-677.

[5] G. Caviglia, E. Sbarra, Characteristic-free bounds for the Castelnuovo Mumford regularity, Compositio Math. 141(2005), no. 6, 1365-1373.

[6] D. Eisenbud, A. Reeves, B. Totaro, Initial ideals, veronese subrings and rates of algebras, Adv.Math. 109 (1994), 168-187.

[7] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Monomial Ideals. Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2011

[8] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, M. Vladoiu, On the Ext-Modules of ideals of Borel type, Contemporary Math. 331 (2003), 171-186.

[9] A. Imran, A. Sarfraz, An upper bound for the regularity of ideals of Borel type, Commu. Algebra 36:2 (2008), 670-673.

[10] E. Miller, B. Sturmfels, Combinatorial Commutative Algebra, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 227, Springer, 2004.

[11] N.T.Trung, Grobner bases, local cohomology and reduction number, Proceding A.M.S. 129 (2000), 9-18.

[12] R.H. Villarreal, Monomial Algebras, Pure and Appl. Math., vol.238, Dekker, 2001.